

**Chapter 1 : Mastering Logical Fallacies: The Most Common Uses And Abuses Of Logic And Rhetoric | Book**

*logical fallacies exchange for my honest honest and unbiased free in exchange received this product for free high school critical thinking easy to understand mastering logical school or college michael withey real life debate team easy to read appeal to authority helpful please received at discount real-life example highly recommend great book.*

Though the author made quite a few good arguments throughout the book, the book as a whole was disappointing and left me dissatisfied. This book is supposed to be about mastering logic; however, the author possesses a clear and alarming bias that leans left and he presents an anti-God agenda sprinkled throughout the entire book. Found within the pages of this book is not education but indoctrination. Further, all of Dr. I do not recommend this book to anyone. The book starts off well and without bias, but by page 22 the author promotes a positive view of Barak Obama; in stark contrast, the author pushes a negative view of Donald Trump on page A few times throughout the book, the author uses references to The Simpsons. At first glance, the use of The Simpsons might not seem like a big deal; however, there is a theme that obviously runs throughout this book and that theme is anti-God. The Simpsons are known for mocking Christianity. Though Homer Simpson might be on a television show found in our normal real life experiences, Homer Simpson himself is not a real life example. By page 43, the author singles out Christianity and attempts to discredit the Bible by claiming that Isaiah The author intentionally cherry-picked the very end of that Scripture and omitted what was actually written: Have scientists ever been wrong? Of course they have been wrong. While the author attempts to discredit the Christian faith, the author fails to notice that he too possesses faith. The author possesses faith that other humans are correct in all their estimates; therefore, the author believes [by faith] that black holes exist and that they emit radiation. What makes someone an expert? This is also why Dr. And second, the author demands tolerance yet attacks Christianity on the very next page. But even if the message of tolerance is to be taken seriously, what is to be tolerated? We must remember that a tolerance to lies is an intolerance to Truth. See my argument about Truth: On page 58, the author discusses the appeal to desperation fallacy while putting a negative spin on the republican party. The author chose to use Medicare to illustrate the fallacy. Unwanted pregnancy demands a serious response. Abortion sounds like a serious response. Moreover, the proposed solution may be worse than the original problem. Read my logical argument against abortion here: By whose standards do we live? Without an absolute moral standard, all morals would be relative and relativism is absolutely absurd. Read my argument against moral relativism here: This is evident in the multiple cases where defenders of marriage are called hateful, intolerant, or even bigots. We must separate facts from feelings. Biology and anthropology argue in favor of heterosexual relationships and traditional view of marriage. All people possess faith. In fact, the author already revealed his faith when he appealed to the authority of Stephen Hawking – he revealed that he possessed faith that black holes not only exist, but that they also emit radiation. But is that true? The author reveals his ignorance of Scripture. Mosaic ceremonial law passed away, but homosexuality is still listed as being wrong in the New Testament. A tolerance to lies is an intolerance to Truth. Logic proves that pluralism is a false doctrine; logic does not prove that no belief should be established, but that Truth is absolute and there exists one worldview that is true. On page 58, the author attacks GOD yet again while discussing the fallacy of appealing to fear. The author also appeals to emotion by stating that Donald Trump proposes to ban Muslims. The purpose of a temporary travel ban is to prevent unnecessary terrorist attacks. It just so happens that the areas prone to terrorists harbor a vast majority of Muslims. Do we purify our water before drinking it? What about dross from gold? Would you want mold in your house? In life, filtering systems are necessary and good. Please read my immigration argument here: But should we worry? God is love, and whoever abides in love abides in God, and God abides in him. By this is love perfected with us, so that we may have confidence for the day of judgment, because as he is so also are we in this world. There is no fear in love, but perfect love casts out fear. For fear has to do with punishment, and whoever fears has not been perfected in love. We love because he first loved us. Rebellion against government law produces promised consequences of prison. Do citizens live life in fear of breaking the law or do they live in the joy of freedom to live life in alignment with love? If criminals end up in

prison, is that not what we call justice? If criminals find themselves in prison, was it not their choices that led them there? Is it wrong to separate sinners from saints in Hell and Heaven? If GOD is perfect love 1John 4: If GOD has commanded us to make right decisions and granted us the gift of free will to make decisions, who is to blame if we make wrong decisions? So, what is at question is not the justice of Hell, but the existence of Hell. Many criminals did not believe they would face judgment only to face judgment at a later time. Truth exists whether one believes Truth exists or not. But if humans are to claim anything to be right or wrong, there must be an absolute moral standard by which the wrong may be compared. To know what is crooked we must first know what is straight. See my arguments about evil and Hell:

## Chapter 2 : Mastering Logical Fallacies : Michael Withey :

*Mastering Logical Fallacies has ratings and 14 reviews. Dee said: I started out liking Michael Whitney's book a lot. I thought the layout made sense.*

## Chapter 3 : [PDF/ePub Download] mastering logical fallacies eBook

*Michael Withey is the author of Mastering Logical Fallacies ( avg rating, ratings, 14 reviews), Mastering Logical Fallacies ( avg rating,*

## Chapter 4 : Michael Withey (Author of Mastering Logical Fallacies)

*Mastering Logical Fallacies: by Michael Withey; Book review: by Trenton Gill. This is my book review of Mastering Logical Fallacies, by Dr. Michael Withey. I'll provide a short summary and then expound upon it.*

## Chapter 5 : Download [PDF] Mastering Logical Fallacies Free Online | New Books in Politics

*Mastering Logical Fallacies is the clearest, boldest, and most systematic guide to dominating the rules and tactics of successful arguments. This book offers methodical breakdowns of the logical fallacies behind exceedingly common, yet detrimental, argumentative mistakes, and explores them through real life examples of logic-gone-wrong.*

## Chapter 6 : Mastering Logical Fallacies? “ Quest For Truth

*Buy Mastering Logical Fallacies: The Definitive Guide to Flawless Rhetoric and Bulletproof Logic by Michael Withey, Henry Zhang (ISBN: ) from Amazon's Book Store. Everyday low prices and free delivery on eligible orders.*