

*Management Aquifer Recharge Susta [P.J. Dillon] on racedaydvl.com *FREE* shipping on qualifying offers. This title offers more than papers originating in 20 countries, covering research on a widening range of methods for recharge enhancement and groundwater quality protection and improvement.*

Significant effort has been made in the Chesapeake Bay region to reduce nutrient discharges in the past decade. HRSD also benefits from the reduction as it decreases its exposure to future nutrient reduction requirements and allows nutrient credit trading agreements in the region. The PAS is a large, confined aquifer, and withdrawal rates have far exceeded the natural replenishment rate and the existing recharge and replenishment efforts in the region. The declining levels have resulted in reduced withdrawal permits, land subsidence, and saltwater intrusion. Concept Evaluation The SWIFT program began in Virginia with a feasibility assessment to identify potential treatment trains to achieve a level of advanced water treatment AWT that meets all primary drinking water standards and provides multiple barriers to pathogens and organics. Three viable treatment trains were identified, and Class 5 capital and operating cost estimates were generated to provide a high-level comparison. Figures 1 through 3 provide process flow diagrams of the candidate treatment processes, while Table 1 presents the net present value cost of a MGD facility for each option. Process flow diagram for reverse osmosis RO -based option Figure 2. Process flow diagram for nanofiltration NF -based option Figure 3. Process flow diagram for granular activated carbon GAC -based option Table 1. Net Present Value Cost of 20 MGD AWT Facility At the concept evaluation phase, multiple regional groundwater modeling scenarios were evaluated to better understand the impact of various injection flows and timelines. The results of two scenarios are shown in Figure 4. The figure on the left provides a year baseline outlook without SWIFT recharge and shows aquifer levels declining to levels reaching feet below sea level. Results of regional groundwater modeling for baseline and SWIFT scenarios Another part of the groundwater modeling evaluation was analysis of the travel time as the water moves through the aquifer. Due to the large size of the PAS, it was estimated using several different analytical methods that the average velocity of the recharge water would be 29 feet per year, thus taking years to travel one mile from the injection well. The pilot testing included two side-by-side AWT processes: This side-by-side analysis allowed real-time comparison of the AWT effluent to ensure that the identified treatment goals could be met. Pilot operation began in June , and a rigorous sampling campaign was initiated to quantify performance at each stage in the process. The RO-based train was operated until December , while the GAC-based train has continued in operation through to provide insight on performance as the GAC became exhausted. All treatment goals were achieved through testing, but results are not included in this article. Figures 5 and 6 show pictures of the pilot testing equipment. Drilling a test well allowed hydraulic and geochemical analysis to confirm the assumptions made in the concept evaluation phase. The hydraulic analysis consisted of a step drawdown test, a hour constant rate test, and packer testing in each of the three primary zones of the PAS. This testing showed a specific capacity approaching 50 gpm per foot, confirming it is hydraulically well-suited for recharge. RO-based pilot treatment skids Figure 6. Geochemical analysis established a goal of having recharge water within one order of magnitude in ionic strength relative to the native groundwater, which would require a significant increase of sodium chloride to the RO effluent. The analysis also identified a target recharge pH of 7. Figure 7 provides a picture of the initial test well. The GAC-based treatment process was selected for the Research Center based on its pilot performance, preferential cost, avoidance of brine treatment and discharge challenges, and projected compatibility with the native groundwater. Construction of the Research Center began in April , and facility startup will occur in January After several months of documented performance, aquifer recharge is expected to begin in April , at a flow rate of 1 MGD. In addition to the thorough sampling and process monitoring that will occur within the Research Center, several monitoring wells will be used to quantify additional treatment provided as the recharge water moves through the aquifer. EPA holds primacy over the permit. This approach has helped HRSD reduce the risk of a future roadblock, whether it comes from regulatory agencies, regional agencies, or the public. These limits will be reviewed during operation of the

Research Center and may be revised for future full-scale facilities based on the AWT performance and the documented treatment performance in the aquifer. These are non-regulated trace organics that are widely discussed in the potable reuse community. Table 3 provides the non-regulatory performance indicators. The regulatory approach does not include specific requirements for pathogen inactivation log reduction values LRVs ; however, the treatment process has been designed and will be operated to achieve the pathogen inactivation shown in Table 4. Ozone inactivation will target 3-log virus removal and 1. The most notable challenge is to produce consistently low secondary effluent nitrogen values ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate throughout the day, given the typical influent diurnal load variation. He has 10 years of experience with CH2M and a wide range of experience, including master planning, design, pilot testing, construction and startup, and operational troubleshooting.

If searching for the ebook Management Aquifer Recharge Susta in pdf form, then you have come on to faithful site. We presented complete release of this book in txt, doc, DjVu, ePub, PDF forms.

An acre-foot of water is approximately 325,851 gallons. This is the average amount of water used each year by a five-person household in the United States, although the amount used varies depending on regional climate and water conservation practices. In California, an acre-foot is the average annual water consumption of two and a half single family households. The total aquifer capacity is the amount of groundwater that the aquifer holds when completely full. Minimum Operational Aquifer Level: This indicates the amount of water that can be safely removed from the aquifer without undesirable consequences such as land subsidence. Target Operational Aquifer Level: This is the optimal amount of water to have in an aquifer: Regulatory Framework and Management Matter The success of MAR depends not only on having a suitable aquifer, but also on having an appropriate regulatory framework and proper management. The Need for Groundwater Management: One of the tools used by groundwater managers is managed aquifer recharge MAR. Aquifers, the porous rocks and sediments that hold and transmit groundwater, are naturally replenished by surface water that seeps into the ground. MAR enhances the recharge rate by creating artificial streams and ponds where water trickles into the ground, or by using wells to directly inject water underground. MAR can also be used to improve groundwater quality and prevent some of the negative consequences of groundwater depletion, like ground sinking subsidence or the intrusion of salty groundwater from the oceans into coastal freshwater aquifers. This case study highlights four of these projects, covering almost a century of MAR and water security in California. Santa Clara Valley Water District: Stopping subsidence and storing water The land in the Santa Clara Valley, better known today as Silicon Valley, began sinking in the early 1900s as excessive groundwater removal caused the ground to compact. The Santa Clara Valley Water District was founded in the 1940s to recharge groundwater supplies and prevent further land subsidence. Today, imported waters still supply two-thirds of the water used for aquifer recharge and half of the total water used in the Santa Clara Valley Water District. Numbers at a Glance⁵ Total Aquifer Capacity: Managed aquifer recharge has helped to restore groundwater levels and prevent further subsidence. Orange County Water District: Preventing saltwater intrusion, improving water quality, and storing water When coastal aquifers are depleted, salty groundwater from the ocean can flow into them. In 1952, this saltwater intrusion threatened the groundwater underlying much of Orange County, and the California State Legislature formed the Orange County Water District. In recent years, with the future availability of river water uncertain, the district has invested more heavily in water treatment projects. The district closely monitors the amount of water available in its underground reservoirs; monthly data are available in graphical form on their website. Numbers at a Glance⁸ Total Aquifer Capacity: The quality of the recharged water increases as it flows from inland recharge basins into the aquifer. Water Replenishment District of Southern California: Today, the WRD, like the neighboring Orange County Water District, operates inland spreading grounds and coastal injection wells to recharge the aquifers and prevent saltwater intrusion. Half of the water used by the 4 million residents of southern Los Angeles County comes from aquifers managed by the WRD. Historically, the spreading grounds and injection wells used a mixture of local and imported water. More recently, as concerns about the reliability and cost of imported water have grown, the WRD has invested in water recycling and expanded its stormwater capture system to recharge the aquifers with rainwater that would otherwise flow into the ocean. Numbers at a Glance Total Aquifer Capacity: Stabilizing the water supply for agriculture The Southern San Joaquin Valley is a major agricultural region. Water needs are high, but the amount of river water flowing into the valley can vary greatly from year to year. The Kern Water Bank was launched in 1968 to stabilize water availability. From a geological standpoint, this region, underlain by a thick, sandy aquifer, is ideally suited for underground water storage. In wet years, some water from the Kern River is used to recharge the underlying aquifers using a series of spreading grounds. In addition, the water bank relies heavily on non-local state and federal waters from the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta, which are brought in by the California Aqueduct. In contrast to the three

other water districts described above, the Kern Water Bank is privately owned and managed. People or companies own water rights, which entitle them to pump certain amounts of groundwater. Numbers at a Glance12 Total Aquifer Capacity: A widely used tool for water management Under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act, California now requires comprehensive groundwater management throughout the entire state. Anderson R and Ferriz H eds.

Chapter 3 : Managed aquifer recharge

Water Shortages and Managed Aquifer Recharge. Water supply shortages are occurring now and are expected to occur more widely in the future. Managed aquifer recharge (MAR) will become an increasingly important tool for mitigating the economic, environmental, and public health impacts of these shortages.

This may be carried out a national and watershed scale. Three fundamental planning steps should be considered: Water availability – assess the availability and quality of excess wet season surface water flows or other potential sources. The frequency and volume of availability of suitable water must be assessed for each planning region, as must the influence of natural climate variability and projected human-induced change. Feasibility - the costs, benefit and feasibility of constructing and operating a MAR scheme, including those associated with transporting the recovered MAR water to demand centers needs to be determined. Construction, operations and maintenance Technologies used in Managed Aquifer Recharge. MAR methods may be grouped into the following broad approaches: Spreading methods – such as infiltration ponds , soil-aquifer treatment, in which overland flows are dispersed to encourage groundwater recharge; In-channel modifications – such as percolation ponds, sand dam , subsurface harvesting systems , leaky dams and recharge releases, in which direct river channel modifications are made to increase recharge; Wells, shafts, and boreholes recharge – in which infrastructure are developed to pump water to an aquifer to recharge it and then either withdraw it at the same or a nearby location e. There are several common operational issues experienced by MAR schemes. Successful operation requires appropriate training for operators, access to successful demonstrations of the technologies being deployed and sound and integrated management of water resources. Costs The diverse range of managed aquifer recharge MAR schemes illustrates how the economics of different adaptation options can vary considerably. Consequently borehole injection methods are often less viable, particularly for agricultural purposes, although in some areas may be suitable for urban and domestic water use. This provides an example where the economic feasibility is driven not only by cost, but also other considerations such as the scale of the scheme and the end-user of the water resource. Field experiences MAR example: The sedimentation creates a shallow artificial aquifer which is recharged both laterally and vertically by stream flow. Each of these dams provides at least 2, m3 of storage and has been constructed by local communities using locally available material. The benefits identified through this program include: Sand dams are not appropriate for all locations. They require unweathered and relatively impermeable bedrock at shallow depth; the dominant rock formation in the area should weather to coarse, sandy sediments; sufficient overflow is required for fine sediments to be washed away; and risk of buildup of soil and groundwater salinity needs to be low. Cooperative effort, ownership and ongoing maintenance by the local community are also necessary for the success of these schemes.

Chapter 4 : Flood-Managed Aquifer Recharge (Flood-MAR)

Managed Aquifer Recharge (or MAR) is the purposeful recharge of an aquifer under controlled conditions to store the water for later extraction, or to achieve environmental benefits. MAR requires five things: source water, conveyance, a suitable receiving aquifer, water rights, and to satisfy the regulations.

Chapter 5 : Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) - Akvopedia

The Edwards Aquifer Authority is a groundwater district, mandated by the Edwards Aquifer Authority Act. The Act grants all of the powers, rights, and privileges necessary to manage, conserve, preserve, and protect the aquifer.

Chapter 6 : A SWIFT Approach To Managed Aquifer Recharge

Figure 10 Managed aquifer recharge is a way of increasing the value of water resources by harvesting and storing water

in the wet season for recovery during the dry season or as drought and emergency supplies.

Chapter 7 : Welcome - Managed Aquifer Recharge

Managed aquifer recharge, also called groundwater replenishment, water banking and artificial recharge, is the purposeful recharge of water to aquifers for subsequent recovery or environmental benefit.

Chapter 8 : Managed aquifer recharge in California | American Geosciences Institute

Management of Aquifer Recharge and Subsurface Storage – "Making Better Use of Our Largest Reservoir 1 disposal" both usually result in large volumes of accidental groundwater recharge. If such unplanned activities on permeable soil profiles can result in very high rates.